**Risen & Gilovich: Summary of Differences**

*Contact: Maya Mathur (mmathur@stanford.edu)*

Instructions: List the differences between the original RP:P protocol and your revised protocol. For each difference, describe the nature of the differences, whether the difference relates to the materials, procedure, sampling, or analysis, and the justification for the difference.

1. **Sampling frame:** The revised sampling frame will consist of undergraduate university students, as in the original *JPSP* study. In contrast, the RP:P protocol used a subject pool drawn from Amazon Mechanical Turk. This modification addresses Risen’s concern that the specific scenarios used in the original study would not be sufficiently compelling to a non-undergraduate population.
2. **Setting**: The revised procedure will be conducted entirely in person. This modification addresses Risen’s concern that cognitive load manipulations may be unsuccessful in a crowdsourced online setting due to competing attentional demands.
3. **Manipulation checks:** The revised questionnaire will include items allowing assessment of whether the endorsed protocol affects proposed mechanisms of non-replication (personal salience of the lecture class scenario and failure of the cognitive load manipulation).